



July 29, 2002

FILED ELECTRONICALLY

Ms. Marlene Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: EX PARTE

***In the Matter of Qwest Communications International, Inc.
Consolidated Application for Authority to Provide In-Region,
InterLATA Services in Colorado, Idaho, Iowa, Nebraska, and North
Dakota; WC Docket No. 02-148***

***In the Matter of Qwest Communications International, Inc.
Consolidated Application for Authority to Provide In-Region,
InterLATA Services in Montana, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming;
WC Docket No. 02-189***

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On July 26, 2002, Sherry Lichtenberg, Chris Frentrup, Kim Scardino, Mike Lehmkuhl, Ed Caputo, and the undersigned of WorldCom, and Marc Goldman of Jenner & Block, met with the following Commission staff in a series of meetings to discuss Qwest's two pending section 271 applications: Elizabeth Yockus, Ken Lynch, Marcy Greene, Gail Cohen, Mika Savir, Bob Bentley, Bill Dever, Michael Carowitz, Sharon Lee, Jon Minkoff, Mike Engel, Keith Brown, Paul Zimmerman, Jeremy Marcus, Janice Myles, Diana Lee, Jennifer McKee, John Copes, and Aaron Goldschmidt.

Our discussions focused on the following topics: Qwest's OSS for UNE-P; Qwest's DSL/line sharing practices; Qwest's UNE pricing; and Qwest's refusal to provide WorldCom with customized routing in the form requested. The following OSS

issues are among those that we discussed: migration by name and telephone number; fully integratable pre-ordering and ordering interfaces; jeopardies or reject notices returned after firm order confirmations (FOCs); migration "as specified"; UNE-P provisioning intervals; and SATE. We also discussed, consistent with our comments in WC Docket No. 02-148, DSL and line sharing issues, i.e., the importance of complete and accurate loop qualification information; timely issuance of SOC's; and accurate channel facility assignment (CFA) information.

As discussed in our comments in WC Docket No. 02-148, we explained that Qwest should provide WorldCom with customized routing in the form requested and that Qwest's refusal to do so is inconsistent with Commission precedent. Finally, consistent with our comments in WC Docket No. 02-148, we explained that in all states Qwest should use state-specific minutes-of-use to compute a monthly per-line non-loop charge.

Please call me with any questions about this matter.

Sincerely,

///s///

Lori E. Wright
Associate Counsel
Federal Advocacy

cc: E. Yockus, K. Lynch, M. Greene, G. Cohen, M. Savir, B. Bentley, B. Dever, M. Carowitz, S. Lee, J. Minkoff, M. Engel, K. Brown, P. Zimmerman, J. Marcus, J. Myles, D. Lee, J. McKee, J. Copes, A. Goldschmidt, G. Remondino, M. Cohen (DOJ), J. Jewel (ID PUC), P. Baker (IUB), C. Post (NE PUC), B. Smith (CO PUC), R. Harsch (DOJ), S. Vick (MT PUC), J. Orchard (Utah PUC), WA PUC, S. Oxley (WY PUC), ND PSC, Y. Dori (Hogan & Hartson)